

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
AUGUSTA DIV.

2012 OCT -2 P 1:38

CLERK B. McCarthy
SO. DIST. OF CA.

1

1

3

) CV 112-120

) (Formerly CR 107-162)

1

:

1


11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Further, a federal prisoner must obtain a certificate of appealability (“COA”) before appealing the denial of her motion to vacate. This Court “must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant.” Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings. This Court should grant a COA only if the prisoner makes a “substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). For the reasons set forth in the Report and Recommendation, and in consideration of the standards enunciated in Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 482-84 (2000), Petitioner has

failed to make the requisite showing. Accordingly, a COA is **DENIED** in this case.¹ Moreover, because there are no non-frivolous issues to raise on appeal, an appeal would not be taken in good faith. Accordingly, Petitioner is not entitled to appeal *in forma pauperis*. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

Upon the foregoing, this civil action is **CLOSED**.

SO ORDERED this 2nd day of October, 2012, at Augusta, Georgia.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ “If the court denies a certificate, a party may not appeal the denial but may seek a certificate from the court of appeals under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22.” Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings.